Treatment of exudative age-related macular degeneration with anti-angiogenic drugs: analysis of long-term visual function in routine clinical practice
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Abstract

Purpose: To analyze the long-term visual outcomes of vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitors in treatment-naïve eyes with neovascular age-related macular degeneration (AMD). Methods: Retrospective study with 48 eyes of 44 treatment-naïve patients with neovascular AMD treated only with anti-angiogenic. Visual acuity (VA) results were analyzed after 6 months and 1, 3, and 5 years of follow-up. Results: Mean VA improved from 61.04 to 65.83 letters after 6 months, with a loss of −1.14, −16.88 and −27.50 letters compared to baseline after 1, 3 and 5 years. The proportion of eyes that lost < 15 letters at 3 and 5 years was 50% and 33.3%, respectively. The proportion of eyes with a gain of > 15 letters was 14.6% and 12.5% at 3 and 5 years. The proportion of eyes with a VA > 70 letters was 41.7% at baseline and 22.92% and 10.42% after 3 and 5 years. The mean number of injections received was 4.81, 2.21, 1.73, 1.17 and 0.9 at years 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, respectively, and the mean number of visits was 7.19, 4.88, 4.02, 3.40, and 2.85. Older age, lower VA at baseline and pseudophakic eyes showed worse long-term visual outcomes. Conclusions: The visual outcomes of this study are inferior compared to previous studies. Nevertheless, the number of injections received was lower, and all eyes completed a 5-year follow-up.


Resumen

Objetivo: Analizar los resultados visuales a largo plazo de ojos con degeneración macular asociada a la edad exudativa (DMAE) tratados con inhibidores del factor de crecimiento endotelial en la práctica clínica real. Método: Estudio retrospectivo que incluye 48 ojos de 44 pacientes con DMAE húmeda, únicamente tratados con fármacos antiangiogénicos. Se analizaron los valores de agudeza visual (AV) tras 6 meses, y tras 1, 3 y 5 años de seguimiento. Resultados: La AV mejoró de 61.04 a 65.83 letras a los 6 meses, con una pérdida de −1.14, −16.88 y −27.50 letras respecto a la AV inicial a los 1, 3 y 5 años, respectivamente. El porcentaje de ojos con una pérdida < 15 letras fue del 50 y del 33.3% a los 3 y 5 años, respectivamente. Un 14.6% mejoró ≥ 15 letras a los 3 años y un 12.5% a los 5 años. Los ojos con AV > 70 letras previo al tratamiento fueron el 41.7%, descendiendo al 22.92% a los 3 años y a 10.42% a los 5 años. El número medio de inyecciones fue
Introduction

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is the leading cause of irreversible central visual loss and legal blindness among individuals over the age of 55 in developed countries, and it is estimated to affect more than 3 million people in the United States in 2020. The emergence of antiangiogenic drugs directed against vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) has brought a revolution in the treatment of exudative AMD1.

Bevacizumab (Avastin®; Genentech) is a complete antibody molecule that recognizes and inhibits all isoforms of human VEGF-A. Its high molecular weight was thought to be a limitation for penetrating the retina2, and this promoted the development of a humanized Fab fragment of the same antibody: ranibizumab (Lucentis®; Genentech), which was approved by the FDA (Food and Drug Administration) in 2006 as a result of the pivotal studies MARINA3 and ANCHOR4. Subsequently, the CATT5 study demonstrated that the efficacy of ranibizumab and bevacizumab was equivalent in terms of VA.

Following these studies, the important question arose of how to achieve the greatest benefits in terms of visual gain and its maintenance with the fewest number of injections. To this end, studies with fixed-interval treatment regimens6,7 were designed but showed less favorable overall results compared to monthly regimens. Later, other studies evaluated the possible benefits of an on-demand regimen, called “Pro Re Nata” (PRN)8-11, which consisted of an initial dose of three consecutive monthly injections of ranibizumab, followed by monthly visits and retreatments depending on the functional and/or structural criteria established in each of the studies. This regimen showed to maintain the efficacy of the treatment. Subsequently, a new system called «Treat and Extend» (T&E)12 was proposed, in which retreatment intervals were increased sequentially by 2 weeks up to a maximum of 12 weeks and, in case of recurrence of signs of exudation, the monitoring would start at narrower intervals to be gradually spaced out again. This regimen achieves a significant improvement in VA with fewer visits and injections compared to monthly protocols.

The last antiangiogenic introduced for the treatment of neovascular AMD is aflibercept (Eylea®; Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc), with a capacity of binding to VEGF almost 100 times higher than ranibizumab and bevacizumab, and with an efficacy similar to ranibizumab, documented in two important clinical trials (VIEW 1 and VIEW 2)13,14, and requiring fewer injections.

Material and methods

Descriptive and retrospective study that collected data from a total of 48 eyes from 44 patients, including between 2008 and 2011, diagnosed with exudative AMD without prior ocular treatment and who began intravitreal antiangiogenic therapy after the diagnosis of macular disease. All patients completed a follow-up period of at least 5 years. VA values (ETDRS scale) at 6 months, 1, 3 and 5 years of follow-up have been collected and studied. In addition, the evolution of visual function has been analyzed according to different clinical variables: Baseline VA prior to antiangiogenic treatment, demographic characteristics (age and sex), whether it was the first or second eye affected, or whether the eye studied was phakic or pseudophakic. Finally, documented VAs were compared at different times regarding each of these clinical variables.

Results

Visual acuity

Mean baseline VA was 61.04 ± 2.26 letters (95% CI: 56.49-65.59). At 3 months of follow-up, an improvement in VA was observed to 66.15 letters (SD, 1.79 letters), which remained unchanged at 6 months of follow-up with an average of 65.83 letters (SD, 1.73 letters). However, mean VA suffered a progressive decrease in the following time points, with values of 59.90 letters (SD, 2.99 letters), 44.17 letters (SD, 3.88 letters) and 33.54 letters (SD, 3.89 letters) at 1, 3, and 5 years of follow-up, respectively. These data reveal a visual loss at the time of diagnosis of 16.88 letters (SD, 4.09 letters) and 27.50
letters (SD, 4.36 letters), after a period of 3 and 5 years of antiangiogenic therapy, respectively (Fig. 1).

The eyes that suffered a loss of VA > 15 letters, compared to initial VA, were 50% at 3 years and 66.7% at 5 years of follow-up, respectively. On the other hand, an improvement in VA of >15 letters was observed in 14.6 and 12.5% of cases at 3 and 5 years, respectively.

**Antiangiogenic therapy**

45.8% of the cases were treated with a single type of drug: 13.6% only with bevacizumab and 86.4% only with ranibizumab. 54.2% of cases received more than one type of drug. Among the latter, bevacizumab was used in 48.37% of the injections, ranibizumab in 44.48% of the injections and aflibercept in 7.14% of the injections.

Table 1 shows the mean number of intravitreal injections of anti-VEGF drugs, as well as the average number of visits per year in each of the follow-up years.

**Evolution of visual acuity based on other clinical variables**

**Baseline visual acuity**

Patients with a VA at diagnosis ≥ 70 letters (20/40) (n = 20) experienced a loss of 24.75 letters (SD, 6.37) and 39.25 letters (SD, 6.90) at 3 and 5 years of follow-up, respectively. The cases that initially had a vision ≤ 35 letters (20/200) (n = 5) experienced an improvement of VA of 8 letters (SD, 7.00) at 3 years of follow-up. At 5 years, the balance was a loss of 4 letters compared to baseline VA in these eyes (Fig. 1).

The proportion of eyes that experienced a VA loss of > 15 letters at 3 years of follow-up was of 55% for a baseline VA ≥ 70 letters, 52.2% for those with 36-69 letters and 20% for those with a VA of ≤ 35 letters. At 5 years of follow-up, this proportion was 80, 60,9, and 40% for each of the groups, respectively. Eyes with good visual function at diagnosis (≥70 letters) did not achieve a significant long-term visual gain (no cases showed an improvement > 15 letters). In contrast, eyes with a poor initial vision (≤ 35 letters) gained > 15 letters in 60 and 20% at 3 and 5 years, respectively.

The eyes that read more letters at the onset of choroidal neovascularization maintained a higher VA compared to the other cases over time, and this difference was significant at one year of follow-up (p = 0.018). The eyes with the worst initial VA tended to keep lower VAs, although these results were not statistically significant (Table 2).

**Age**

The average age of the patients was 76.75 ± 0.73 years (95% CI: 75.28-78.22). The data show a loss of 22.33 letters (SD, 5.52) and 31.83 letters (SD, 5.80) in cases with an age ≥ 75 years (n = 30) at 3 and 5 years of follow-up, respectively. For patients < 75 years (n = 18), VA loss was of 7.78 letters (SD, 5.40) and 20.28 letters (SD, 6.33) at 3 and 5 years of follow-up, respectively (Fig. 1). The progression of the neovascular process was more torpid in older patients. However, these differences were only statistically significant at 3 years of follow-up (p = 0.020) (Table 2).

**Sex**

39.6% were male and 60.4% female. In male patients, a loss of 24.47 letters (SD, 7.14) was observed at 3 years and of 32.37 letters (SD, 6.95) at 5 years, while in females, the loss was of 11.90 letters (SD, 4.78) and 24.31 letters (SD, 5.63) at 3 and 5 years of follow-up, respectively (Fig. 1). Female sex showed a strong tendency to maintain a higher VA over time, but these differences were not statistically significant (Table 2).

**Affected eye**

56.3% of cases corresponded to the first eye with the onset of choroidal neovascularization and 43.7% of cases were the second eye affected (Fig. 1). Comparing the two groups, there were no statistically significant visual differences in any of the time points assessed (Table 2).

**Lens biomicroscopy**

Phakic eyes (n = 34) showed a loss of 10.29 letters (SD, 4.22) and of 18.97 letters (SD, 4.56), and pseudophakic eyes (n = 13) showed a loss of 36.54 letters (SD, 8.13) and 53.08 letters (SD, 6.64) at 3 and 5 years of follow-up, respectively (Fig. 1). It was observed that pseudophakic eyes experienced a worse and significant visual evolution than those with an intact lens (Table 2).

**Discussion**

The indication for anti-VEGF therapy in patients with exudative AMD is supported by clinical trials conducted in 2005 and 2006, which demonstrated the improvement of VA in patients with AMD treated with ranibizumab compared to controls or photodynamic therapy.\(^3\,^4\)
Figure 1. Graphic representation of the evolution of visual acuity (VA) over time for eyes with age-related exudative macular degeneration treated with intravitreal antiangiogenic drugs, according to the different clinical variables.
Significant VA gains may be of little relevance if the end result is poor vision that prevents the patient from performing activities of daily living. For this reason, it would be better to assess the efficacy of the treatment in terms of maintaining visual function. In previous studies, the percentage of eyes with initial VA ≥ 70 letters decreased over time, reducing by half (22.92%) at 3 years, and represented 10.42% of cases at the end of the study (Table 4). Again, we consider that this disparity of results is due to the design of the study that included all patients during a 5-year follow-up, regardless of the efficacy of the treatment.

Despite these results, the behavior of VA over time coincides with previous studies; cases with poor baseline VA experience an improvement in the short term and eyes with a greater initial VA, show a lower initial net gain due to the "ceiling effect".

In our study, cases that preserved VA (loss <15 letters) at 3 years were half (50%) and a third (33.3%) at 5 years. These results are markedly lower compared to the MARINA and ANCHOR results, where visual stability was achieved in 95% of cases at one year of follow-up, and the SEVEN UP study, with a percentage of 66% after 7 years of follow-up. On the other hand, not all patients progressively lost vision, but 14.6 and 12.5% experienced a gain > 15 letters at 3 and 5 years of follow-up, respectively.

In this study, the number of annual visits was less than in previous studies, which documented 7-9 visits in each year of follow-up. This is justified because, in many of our patients, the number of medical examinations was progressively spaced up to a minimum of two visits per year, since the eyes in more advanced stages have a very low VA due to the development of geographic atrophy or a disciform scar, and as the response to the pharmacological treatment is scarce or null, they do not require such an exhaustive follow-up.

Similarly, the average number of injections in each year of follow-up was less than that administered in recently published studies. This may be due to the fact that the clinical approach of the patients included in this study was prior to the publication of the current treatment protocols, which could determine that the disease was treated less aggressively.

The results of the influence of different clinical variables on VA values collected in this study are consistent with previous studies: patients >75 years have a more accelerated course of the neovascular process, VA changes over time are not influenced by

| Table 1. Mean number and standard deviation of intravitreal antiangiogenic injections and medical visits per year during a 5-year follow-up period |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|                                 | 1st year      | 2nd year      | 3rd year      | 4th year      | 5th year      |
| No. injections/year             | 4.81 ± 0.16   | 2.21 ± 0.23   | 1.73 ± 0.25   | 1.17 ± 0.23   | 0.9 ± 0.21    |
| No. visits/year                 | 7.19 ± 0.23   | 4.88 ± 0.26   | 4.02 ± 0.22   | 3.40 ± 0.25   | 2.85 ± 0.25   |

Subsequently, several publications documented the results of antiangiogenic therapy in the first two years of treatment; however, there is little information on the effectiveness of this treatment after 4 years of follow-up, and even more so if the information refers to the results derived from actual clinical practice.

Our data on the effectiveness of anti-VEGF treatment in AMD differ from previous studies, the results of which show maintenance or a discrete gain of VA over time. The gain or loss of letters should be interpreted according to initial VA, since subjects with better VA at diagnosis are less likely to gain vision than those who start with a poor VA, due to the “ceiling effect” (Fig. 1). Therefore, these observed differences could be influenced by the higher initial mean VA of our study compared to previous studies (Table 3).

It should also be considered that antiangiogenic treatment protocols in studies such as MARINA, ANCHOR and CATT, were based on rigid and well-defined criteria with monthly fixed injections or a PRN regimen (PrONT0 and CATT) that differ from those used in clinical practice.

When we compare retrospective studies of a similar design, but with a longer follow-up, our results are unfavorable. The more representative are SEVEN UP, which prolonged the follow-up of patients included in the ANCHOR, MARINA and HORIZON trials, and the CATT Study Follow, with 5 years of follow-up of the patients enrolled in the CATT trial. The positive balance favoring these studies may reflect the high degree of follow-up and treatment of the neovascular process during the first two years when they participated in the pivotal studies.

Furthermore, all these studies had sample losses, mainly due to lack of efficacy of the antiangiogenic treatment, and these patients were not included in the evaluation of long-term therapeutic effectiveness. Therefore, the good results obtained may be related to this percentage of patients lost to follow-up. In contrast, in our study, all patients completed a 5-year follow-up, regardless of having decided not to continue treatment due to the development of geographic atrophy or a disciform scar.

The gain or loss of letters should be interpreted according to initial VA, since subjects with better VA at diagnosis are less likely to gain vision than those who start with a poor VA, due to the “ceiling effect” (Fig. 1). Therefore, these observed differences could be influenced by the higher initial mean VA of our study compared to previous studies (Table 3).

It should also be considered that antiangiogenic treatment protocols in studies such as MARINA, ANCHOR and CATT, were based on rigid and well-defined criteria with monthly fixed injections or a PRN regimen (PrONT0 and CATT) that differ from those used in clinical practice.

When we compare retrospective studies of a similar design, but with a longer follow-up, our results are unfavorable. The more representative are SEVEN UP, which prolonged the follow-up of patients included in the ANCHOR, MARINA and HORIZON trials, and the CATT Study Follow, with 5 years of follow-up of the patients enrolled in the CATT trial. The positive balance favoring these studies may reflect the high degree of follow-up and treatment of the neovascular process during the first two years when they participated in the pivotal studies.

Furthermore, all these studies had sample losses, mainly due to lack of efficacy of the antiangiogenic treatment, and these patients were not included in the evaluation of long-term therapeutic effectiveness. Therefore, the good results obtained may be related to this percentage of patients lost to follow-up. In contrast, in our study, all patients completed a 5-year follow-up, regardless of having decided not to continue treatment due to the development of geographic atrophy or a disciform scar.

The gain or loss of letters should be interpreted according to initial VA, since subjects with better VA at diagnosis are less likely to gain vision than those who start with a poor VA, due to the “ceiling effect” (Fig. 1). Therefore, these observed differences could be influenced by the higher initial mean VA of our study compared to previous studies (Table 3).

It should also be considered that antiangiogenic treatment protocols in studies such as MARINA, ANCHOR and CATT, were based on rigid and well-defined criteria with monthly fixed injections or a PRN regimen (PrONT0 and CATT) that differ from those used in clinical practice.

When we compare retrospective studies of a similar design, but with a longer follow-up, our results are unfavorable. The more representative are SEVEN UP, which prolonged the follow-up of patients included in the ANCHOR, MARINA and HORIZON trials, and the CATT Study Follow, with 5 years of follow-up of the patients enrolled in the CATT trial. The positive balance favoring these studies may reflect the high degree of follow-up and treatment of the neovascular process during the first two years when they participated in the pivotal studies.

Furthermore, all these studies had sample losses, mainly due to lack of efficacy of the antiangiogenic treatment, and these patients were not included in the evaluation of long-term therapeutic effectiveness. Therefore, the good results obtained may be related to this percentage of patients lost to follow-up. In contrast, in our study, all patients completed a 5-year follow-up, regardless of having decided not to continue treatment due to the development of geographic atrophy or a disciform scar.

The gain or loss of letters should be interpreted according to initial VA, since subjects with better VA at diagnosis are less likely to gain vision than those who start with a poor VA, due to the “ceiling effect” (Fig. 1). Therefore, these observed differences could be influenced by the higher initial mean VA of our study compared to previous studies (Table 3).

It should also be considered that antiangiogenic treatment protocols in studies such as MARINA, ANCHOR and CATT, were based on rigid and well-defined criteria with monthly fixed injections or a PRN regimen (PrONT0 and CATT) that differ from those used in clinical practice.

When we compare retrospective studies of a similar design, but with a longer follow-up, our results are unfavorable. The more representative are SEVEN UP, which prolonged the follow-up of patients included in the ANCHOR, MARINA and HORIZON trials, and the CATT Study Follow, with 5 years of follow-up of the patients enrolled in the CATT trial. The positive balance favoring these studies may reflect the high degree of follow-up and treatment of the neovascular process during the first two years when they participated in the pivotal studies.

Furthermore, all these studies had sample losses, mainly due to lack of efficacy of the antiangiogenic treatment, and these patients were not included in the evaluation of long-term therapeutic effectiveness. Therefore, the good results obtained may be related to this percentage of patients lost to follow-up. In contrast, in our study, all patients completed a 5-year follow-up, regardless of having decided not to continue treatment due to the development of geographic atrophy or a disciform scar.
Finally, we observed that, even with a higher initial VA in pseudophakic eyes, these experienced a more marked visual deterioration, three times greater compared to phakic eyes, despite the visual limitations secondary to the presence of an advanced cataract, typical of an aging population. Our data contrast with previous studies that did not find statistically significant differences between these two groups\textsuperscript{25-27}, although it is true that some of them suggest that phakic eyes tend to show less VA loss. To explain these differences, it has been hypothesized that cataract surgery could alter the pharmacodynamics and/or pharmacokinetics of the drug released in the vitreous cavity. On one hand, changes in the protein composition of the vitreous have been described, as well as a higher incidence of posterior vitreous detachment after surgery, which could influence the half-life of the drug. On the other hand, pseudophakic eyes could favor the clearance of the drug through the aqueous humor elimination pathways in the anterior chamber\textsuperscript{28}.

### Conclusions

Current evidence shows the need for a minimum of seven injections in the first year of treatment. Our study was conducted in a period in which the stability of the lesion was not clearly defined based on the protocol used (monthly, PRN, T&E), so our patients probably were under-treated in the evaluation period. Visual results could also be influenced by including eyes in the VA analysis with geographic atrophy or disciform scars.
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Table 4. Proportion of cases with good visual function (≥ 70 letters) at the beginning and at the end of different studies, during different follow-up periods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Initial percentage ≥ 70 letters</th>
<th>Final percentage ≥ 70 letters</th>
<th>Data collection time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Talks, et al.</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing Committee for the UK Age-Related Macular Degeneration EMR Users Group</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gillies, et al.</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATT Study Follow</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEVEN UP</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>7 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our study</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>22.92</td>
<td>3 years, 10:42 AM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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