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Abstract

**Objective:** To describe the preliminary results of the use of Intense Regulated Pulsed Light (IRPL) in patients with Dry Eye Syndrome due to Meibomian Gland Dysfunction (MGD). **Methods:** A descriptive, longitudinal and prospective study was performed in 32 patients diagnosed with Dry Eye Syndrome, in which treatment with IRPL was applied between April–December 2017 at the Ophthalmological Center Exiláser, in Cuenca, Ecuador. Three treatment sessions were performed on Day 0, Day 15 and Day 45. Absolute and relative frequencies, Pearson test and Fischer test were used for statistical analyses. **Results:** After treatment with IRPL, tear film breakup time (BUT) greater than 10 seconds was observed in 87.5% of the patients, with a correlation between treatment application and a BUT greater than 10 seconds. There was a decrease in the signs observed in the eyelid margin; this decrease was more evident in blepharitis cases. In 81.3% of the cases, a gentle pressure was enough when performing the gland expression, to obtain a clear liquid in 62.5% of the cases. All the OSDI test items showed improvement. **Conclusions:** Our results show an improvement of the clinical signs and symptoms of Dry Eye Syndrome due to MGD after a course of three IRPL sessions over a 45–day period. However, more IRPL studies with a longer follow–up are warranted.
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Resumen

**Objetivo:** Describir los resultados preliminares del empleo de luz pulsada intensa regulada (IRPL) en pacientes con síndrome de ojo seco por disfunción de las glándulas de Meibomio (DGM). **Método:** Se realizó un estudio descriptivo, longitudinal y prospectivo en 32 pacientes con diagnóstico de síndrome de ojo seco, en los cuales se aplicó tratamiento con IRPL, durante el periodo de abril a diciembre de 2017, en el Centro Oftalmológico Exiláser, Cuenca, Ecuador. Se realizaron 3 sesiones de tratamiento: día 0, día 15 y día 45. Se aplicaron frecuencias absolutas, relativas, prueba de Pearson y test de Fischer. **Resultados:** Después del tratamiento con IRPL, en el 87.5% de los casos se constató un tiempo de ruptura de la película lagrimal (BUT) superior a 10 s, con dependencia entre aplicación del tratamiento e incremento del BUT. Se obtuvo una disminución de los signos encontrados en el examen del borde palpebral, que fue más notoria en la blefaritis. En el 81.3% de los casos, fue suficiente una presión suave al realizar la expresión glandular para obtener características de líquido claro transparente en el 62.5% de los casos. Se obtuvo mejora en todos los indicadores del test de OSDI (Ocular...
**Introduction**

Dry eye syndrome is one of the most frequent reasons for consultation in Ophthalmology, and affects the quality of life of hundreds of thousands of people globally. Every day a growing number of patients go to the specialist seeking to alleviate the bothersome symptoms they suffer, and looking for possible causes and solutions to their discomfort. In most cases, dry eye occurs concurrently with other ocular surface disorders, which makes even more complex the management and resolution of this cases.

The recurrence and high frequency of the symptoms forces these patients to continuously use lacrimal substitutes and, even changing the medication from time to time, searching for new alternatives for their disease, either indicated by the physician or by decision of the own patient.

Throughout the technological development of the specialty, several attempts have been made to mitigate these symptoms and in some way “cure” a chronic clinical entity that on many occasions is presented to ophthalmologists as the patient who “we do not want to consult” or that “has no solution”.

Within the treatment options for dry eye syndrome caused by Meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD) is the IPL (Intense Pulsed Light) system, which is a high-intensity light source that emits polychromatic non-coherent light in a broad spectrum.

Unique filters in intense regulated pulsed light (IRPL) limit wavelengths between 550 nm and 1200 nm for dry eye treatments, specific for MGD, which is the most common cause of dry eye syndrome. The light is directed to the skin tissue and then absorbed by the target structure, which results in the production of heat (>80°C) that destroys pigmented skin lesions. Appropriate wavelengths can be selected for different objectives depending on the absorption behavior and the penetration depth of the emitted light, and specific filters can be chosen to limit the delivery of wavelengths to the treatment zone, resulting in a selective thermal supply.

The third-generation IRPL device is designed specifically for periocular applications with multiple light pulses homogeneously sculpted (E-Eye, E-Swin, Paris, France); this is the only medically certified IRPL device to treat MGD. Within its fundamental parameters includes an treatment area of 7.5 cm² with a wavelength between 580 and 1200 nm, with temperatures ranging from -5°C to +65°C.

With the advent of this novel technology to our institution, we began using it in patients with dry eye syndrome due to MGD.

**Objective**

To describe the preliminary results of the use of intense regulated pulsed light (IRPL) in patients with dry eye syndrome due to Meibomian gland dysfunction.

**Method**

A descriptive, longitudinal and prospective study was performed in 32 patients diagnosed with dry eye syndrome, in which treatment with IRPL was applied between April–December 2017 at the Centro Oftalmológico Exilaser, in Cuenca, Ecuador. There were three treatment sessions at day 0, day 15 and day 45.

**Criteria for the selection of cases**

Inclusion criteria:
- Patients with dry eye syndrome due to Meibomian gland dysfunction.
- Age: over 18 years old.
- Motivation: for less frequent use of lacrimal substitutes.

Exclusion criteria:
- Black skin (grade VI skin).
- Use of medications that increase photosensitivity.
- Decompensated diabetes mellitus.
- Active skin lesions in the treatment area.
- Pregnancy.
- Skin lesions due to sunburn within one month of treatment.
- Previous history of sun allergy.
- Hemophilia, delayed cutaneous porphyria.
- Pacemaker, defibrillator.
- Epilepsy.
**Treatment protocol**

- Cleaning and disinfection of the lower skin region and lateral to the eyeball.
- Closure of both eyes and placement of bilateral occluders.
- Placement of a gel (for conduction) in the treatment area, from the skin of the orbital temporal region, including the skin inferior to the lower eyelids, the region of the nose, up to the contralateral region.
- Application of five flashes, starting in the internal nasal region to the temporal region, in both eyes (Fig. 1).
- Energy level used: 12.2 J/cm$^2$
- Gel removal from the face and lidocaine instillation (eye drops) (Alcon), one drop in both eyes.
- Slit lamp examination and Meibomian gland expression, previous application of topical anesthesia, with a sterile swab located in the palpebral conjunctiva in the area of the Meibomian gland, while pressure is applied to the skin with the index finger next to the same gland to be explored, for 30 seconds.
- The procedure is repeated in both eyes, in the upper and lower eyelids. The patient should look away from the eyelid that is being examined (Fig. 2).
- Eyelid margin cleaning, specifically of the meibomian secretion obtained.

**Post-procedure:**

- Cristalltears® (eye drops) (sodium carboxymethylcellulose 0.5%): one drop every 4 h.
- Poenbiotic® (eye drops) (ciprofloxacin 0.3%, dexamethasone 0.1%): 1 drop every 4 hours, up to 5 days after the session.
- Ciprodex® (ointment) (ciprofloxacin 0.3 g, dexamethasone 0.1 g): apply at 10:00 pm up to 5 days after the session.

**General characteristics of the technology**

We used the E-Eye technology (E-Swin, France), marketed in Ecuador by SERVICESA (Fig. 3).
- Technology: IRPL
- Treated area: 7.5 cm$^2$
- Dimensions: 345 x 320 x 440 mm
- Weight: 11.5 Kg
- Voltage: 100 – 240 V
- Temperature: -5°C to +65°C
- Certification: Medical CE, TGA (Australia), WAND (New–Zealand)
- Condition treated: MGD
- Wavelength: 580–1200 nm

**Study variables**

The following variables were evaluated in two time points: day 0 (before the first treatment) and day 60 (15 days after the third session):

- Tear breakup time (BUT). It was evaluated in a slit lamp with fluorescein and a cobalt blue light, expressed in seconds in the following scale: more than 10 s, between 5 and 10 s, and less than 5 s.
- Signs on the eyelid margin. The presence of irregularity, telangiectasias, blepharitis, trichiasis were evaluated.
- Characteristics of gland expression. Evaluated by slit lamp, expressed according to the following scale: clear liquid, cloudy liquid, granular (lumps) and solid (toothpaste).
- Pressure applied to induce gland expression. Pressure applied by the ophthalmologist at the time of gland expression, registered according to the following scale: mild, moderate, severe.
- OSDI (Ocular Surface Disease Index). Questionnaire that includes three groups of questions...
related to the frequency of certain events (symptoms, daily activities and specific situations), where the patient responds on a scale of 0 to 4 with the following categories: 0, never; 1, almost never; 2, 50% of the time; 3, almost always; 4, always. The questionnaire was applied individually by a professional not related to the treatment application. The value of the mean of the sample was obtained for each category per patient.

**Statistical analysis**

The estimations obtained by variable were incorporated into a data table in SPSS® version 21.0. Descriptive and inferential statistics methods expressed in absolute frequencies and relative frequencies were used. A Pearson chi-squared test was applied for a contingency table with the aim of verifying the possible dependence of treatment application (before and after treatment) in the BUT. We then proceeded to analyze the standardized residuals to verify which of the relationships create this dependence. For the variable characteristics of gland expression, a Fisher’s exact test was applied. A significance level of 95% was used. The results were presented in tables and figures. The patients granted their consent for treatment and participation in the study.


**Results**

As shown in Table 1, before the procedure, most patients had a BUT between 5 and 10 s, and after IRPL, most patients had a BUT of more than 10 s.

The results of the chi-square Pearson test suggest dependence between the application of IRPL and BUT ($p = 0.000 < \alpha = 0.05$). The analysis of the standardized residuals shows that at each intersection there are significant differences at a 1% level of significance (corrected residuals > 2.57), and by evaluating the sign it has been possible to detect that there is a significant increase after treatment, between a BUT greater than 10 s and significant decreases between 5 and 10 s and less than 5 s.

Regarding the signs observed in the eyelid margin, blepharitis had a clear predominance before treatment, accompanied in order of frequency by eyelid margin irregularity, telangectasia and trichiasis. After IRPL there was a decrease in the occurrence of blepharitis and trichiasis; this decrease was less noticeable in the presence of eyelid margin irregularities and telangectasias, which were maintained with values very similar to those obtained before treatment (table 2).

Gland expression in the form of lumps predominated before treatment, followed by the expression of a cloudy liquid. After IRPL, more than half of the patients had clear liquid gland expression, and there were some cases in which granular expression persisted, although to a lesser extent.
The performance of an exact Fisher test reflects dependence on the categorical variables treated ($p = 0.000 \prec \alpha = 0.05$). An analysis of the corrected residuals suggests that this dependence is due to the positive influence of the highly significant increase ($\alpha = 0.01$) of cases with clear liquid presence after treatment was applied and the significant decrease ($\alpha = 0.01$) of cases with cloudy and granular liquid, with lumps. No significant difference was observed before and after treatment in the case of solid gland expression characteristic ($\alpha = 0.05$) (table 3).

A predominance of moderate pressure performed for gland expression was observed. Before treatment, pressure applied was moderate in most eyes, after IRPL only a gentle pressure was necessary in most eyes (table 4).

Regarding the results of the OSDI test, a decrease in the score reported by the patients was obtained in the 3 areas included in the test after treatment, with a predominance of foreign body sensation, difficulty driving at night and discomfort before exposure to wind (Figs. 4, 5 y 6).

Table 2. Eyelid margin signs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signs</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th></th>
<th>After</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregularity</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telangiectasia</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blepharitis</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichiasis</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Characteristics of glandular expression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th></th>
<th>After</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear liquid</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>62.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloudy liquid</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granular, lumps</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Pressure applied to induce glandular expression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th></th>
<th>After</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>81.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

The subjective improvement of the patients studied, obtained by the OSDI test, shows a decrease in symptoms due to MGD, which is a common cause of evaporative dry eye, which affects almost 70% of the population in some parts of the world. It manifests with ocular surface symptoms like burning, irritation, fluctuating visual acuity, red eye, among others (Fig. 7). Frequently these symptoms, combined with ineffective treatment options, affect patients’ quality of life. In MGD, glands may narrow; there is acinar atrophy and hyperkeratinization. The meibum increases in viscosity, which promotes the proliferation of commensal bacteria. These bacteria secrete lipases that can alter the composition of the lipids in the meibum, and increase the level of esterified cholesterol (and its melting point), which further reduces gland production.

Slit lamp signs of MGD may not be noticeable in the case of gland obstructive symptoms and, in other cases, may include obstructed or plugged meibomian gland orifices, along with thickening, irregularity, telangiectasia, and hyperemia of the eyelid margin.

In the patients studied, solidification of the secretions was observed in the most severe cases. Tear breakup time is reduced more frequently and the tear film is frequently contaminated by endogenous debris and foam. Ultimately, Meibomian glands do not secrete enough oily layer for the tear film, which allows a higher evaporation rate of the underlying aqueous layer, which in turn leads to dry eye symptoms and inflammation of the ocular surface.

The mechanism through which MGD signs and symptoms improve after treatment with IRPL remains a controversial issue and there are different hypotheses that support it. The proposed mechanisms include heat transfer, which softens the expression of the meibum. However, a mechanism of this type would only induce short-term effects.

In agreement with the recognized mechanism of action in the treatment of the skin in systemic rosacea, it is possible that the thrombosis of the vasculature surrounding the meibomian glands could play a role in decreasing the local release of inflammatory mediators. In addition, the bacterial load in the eyelid margin and in the ocular adnexa could be directly affected by IRPL.

All these mechanisms and/or hypotheses produce a significant increase in the amount of lipids on the tear film surface after treatment, which suggests that the meibum flow of the glands has been facilitated by the treatment with IRPL. In addition, the benefits seem to be cumulative, in such a way that after a course of three treatments for 45 days, improvements in the treated eyes are reported. Another possibility is that IRPL has the potential to modify the mitochondrial production of reactive oxidative species, which has been implicated in dry eye.

Other effects of IRPL have been documented, such as: photomodulation, activation of fibroblasts and collagen synthesis, coagulation and necrosis of Demodex, modulation and secretion of anti-inflammatory molecules, among others.

It would be important for future studies to perform treatment efficacy tests, compare with other groups of patients, and include other objective measures such as tear osmolarity. In addition, perform long-term studies that include more than three treatment sessions.

Conclusions

- IRPL application showed an increase in BUT in the majority of patients, above 10 s, and a significant
relationship was obtained between the procedure and the increase of this variable.

- A reduction in the frequency of eyelid margin characteristics related to MGD was observed after the procedure, as well as a need of lower pressure to achieve gland expression in most patients.

- Gland expression with a clear fluid was obtained in more than half of the sample, with a significant increase of patients in this group after IRPL.

- The procedure improved the condition in terms of dry eye symptoms, the possibility of carrying out daily activities and managing untoward environmental situations.

- This results show an improvement in both the clinical signs and symptoms of dry eye syndrome due to MGD after a course of three sessions of IRPL during a period of 45 days; however, future related long-term studies are required with this treatment.
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